## LINE DRAWING

2011 Introduction to Graphics Lecłure 8

## Overview

$\square$ Line drawing is hard!
$\square$ Ideal lines and drawing them
$\square$ Bresenham's algorithm
$\square$ Stages of optimisation
$\square$ Going Faster
$\square$ Going Faster Still


/

## Ideal Lines

$\square$ From the equation of a line

$$
y=y_{1}+\left(\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)
$$

Find a discretisation

$\times 2, y 2$

## Octants

$\square$ We will choose one case ( ${ }^{\text {st }}$ octant)
$\square$ Line gradient is $>0$ and is $<1$
$\square$ There are eight variations of the following algorithms
$\square 4$ iterate over $X$
$\square 4$ iterate over $Y$


## In the $1^{\text {st }}$ Octant

$\square$ We know that there is more than one pixel on every row (i.e. $X$ increases faster than $y$ )
$\square$ Also Y increase as X increases



$\square$ Note that horizontal, vertical and 45 degree lines often treated as special cases

## Naïve Algorithm

```
\(d x=x_{2}-x_{1}\)
\(d y=y_{2}-y_{1}\)
for ( \(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\) ) \{
    \(y=\operatorname{round}\left(y 1+(d y / d x)^{*}\left(x-x_{1}\right)\right)\)
    setPixel( \(x, y\) )
\}
```

$\square$ Problems
$\square$ one divide, one round, two adds, one multiply per pixel

## First Speed Up - An Obvious Thing

$\square$ Obviously the gradient does not change each time through the loop
$\square$ Calculate $m=d y / d x=(y 2-y 1) /(x 2-x 1)$ once
$\square$ Note that
$\square y(x)=y 1+m *(x-x 1)$
$\square y(x+1)=y 1+m^{*}((x+1)-x 1)$
$\square y(x+1)-y(x)=m$

## Step 0

## Step 1: Convert to

 incremental algorithm$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d x=x_{2}-x_{1} & d x=x_{2}-x_{1} \\
d y=y_{2}-y_{1} & d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
\text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ & y=y_{1} \\
y=\operatorname{round}\left(y 1+(d y / d x)^{*}\right. & \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
\left.\left(x-x_{1}\right)\right) & y+=d y / d x \\
\operatorname{setPixel}(x, y) & \operatorname{setPixel}(x, \operatorname{round}(y)) \\
\} & \}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 1

## Step 2: Replace round

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x=x_{2}-x_{1} \\
& d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
& y=y_{1} \\
& \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
& \quad y+=d y / d x \\
& \quad \operatorname{setPixel}(x, \operatorname{round}(y)) \\
& \}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x=x_{2}-x_{1} \\
& d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
& y=y_{1} \\
& \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
& \quad y+=d y / d x \\
& \quad \operatorname{setPixel}(x,(i n t)(y+0.5)) \\
& \}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 2

## Step 3: split y into an integer and fraction part

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d x=x_{2}-x_{1} & d x=x_{2}-x_{1} d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
d y=y_{2}-y_{1} & y_{i}=y_{1} y_{f}=0.0 \\
y=y_{1} & \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
\text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ & y_{f}+=d y / d x \\
y+=d y / d x & \text { if }\left(y_{f}>0.5\right)\{ \\
\quad \operatorname{setPixel}(x,(i n t)(y+0.5)) & y_{i}++ \\
\} & \\
& y_{f}-- \\
& \}
\end{array}
$$

Note $y_{f}$ is always in range -0.5 to 0.5

## Step 3

## Step 4: shift $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}$ by 0.5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x=x_{2}-x_{1} \quad d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
& y_{i}=y_{1} \quad y_{f}=0.0 \\
& \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
& \quad y_{f}+=d y / d x \\
& \text { if }\left(y_{f}>0.5\right)\{ \\
& y_{i}++ \\
& y_{f}-- \\
& \} \\
& \text { setPixel }\left(x, y_{i}\right) \\
& \}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 4

## Step 5: Multiply $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}$

 through by 2dx$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d x=x_{2}-x_{1} d y=y_{2}-y_{1} & d x=x_{2}-x_{1} d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
y_{i}=y_{1} \quad y_{f}=-0.5 & y_{i}=y_{1} \quad y_{f}=-d x \\
\text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ & \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
y_{f}+=d y / d x & y_{f}+=2 d y \\
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { if }\left(y_{f}>0.0\right)\{ & \text { if }\left(y_{f}>0\right)\{ \\
y_{i}++ & y_{i}++ \\
y_{f}-- & y_{f}+=-2 d x \\
\} & \}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{setPixel}\left(x, y_{i}\right) & \text { setPixel }\left(x, y_{i}\right)
\end{array} \\
\} & \}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 5

## Step 6: Re-arrange if

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
d x=x_{2}-x_{1} \quad d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
y_{i}=y_{1} \quad y_{f}=-d x \\
\text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
\quad y_{f}+=2 d y \\
\text { if }\left(y_{f}>0\right)\{ \\
y_{i}++ \\
y_{f}+=-2 d x \\
\} \\
\text { setPixel }\left(x, y_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x=x_{2}-x_{1} \quad d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
& y_{i}=y_{1} \quad y_{f}=-d x \\
& \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
& \text { if }\left(y_{f}>0\right)\{ \\
& y_{i}++ \\
& y_{f}+=2 d y-2 d x \\
& \} \text { else }\{ \\
& y_{f}+=2 d y \\
& \}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { setPixel }\left(x, y_{i}\right) \\
& \}
\end{aligned}
$$

This has one less add statement

## Step 6

## Step 7: Make all constants

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d x=x_{2}-x_{1} d y=y_{2}-y_{1} & d x=x_{2}-x_{1} d y=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
y_{i}=y_{1} \quad y_{f}=-d x & y_{i}=y_{1} \quad y_{f}=-d x \\
\text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ & e=2 d y-2 d x \\
\text { if }\left(y_{f}>0\right)\{ & f=2 d y \\
y_{i}++ & \text { for }\left(x=x_{1} ; x<=x_{2} ; x++\right)\{ \\
y_{f}+=2 d y-2 d x & \text { if }\left(y_{f}>0\right)\{ \\
\} \text { else }\{ & y_{i}++ \\
y_{f}+=2 d y & y_{f}+=e \\
\} & \} \text { else }\{ \\
\text { setPixel }\left(x, y_{i}\right) & y_{f}+=f \\
\} & \}
\end{array}
$$

## What have we got

$\square$ Only integer arithmetic
$\square$ One if, $1 / 2$ adds per pixel
$\square$ One hidden if in the loop

Can we get faster than that?

## Faster - Mid-Point Drawing

$\square$ Note that lines are symmetric
$\square(a, b)$ to $(c, d)$ should generate the same pixels. Thus the lines are symmetric about the mid-point
$\square$ Implies...
$\square$ draw outwards from mid-point in both directions
$\square$ Is almost twice as fast (one extra add gives an extra pixel)

## Faster - Two-Step

$\square$ Note we considered whether to choose between

$\square$ What if we choose between the four cases

$\square$ Almost twice the speed again

## Questions

$\square$ How would you draw thick lines?
$\square$ Will Bresenham really result in a big speed-up (think setPixel())?
$\square$ How would you do anti-aliasing?

## Summary

$\square$ Bresenham's algorithm uses only integer arithmetic and 2/3 ops per pixel

- Ideal for hardware implementation
$\square$ Can be improved almost four-fold in speed, with added complexity
$\square$ Good for software implementations
$\square$ Pixel scanning is usually not the bottleneck these days so wouldn't be cast into hardware

